arXiv:alg-geom/9508003v1 6 Aug 1995

Heights for line bundles on arithmetic surfaces

Jörg Jahnel*

Revised version, August 1995

Abstract

For line bundles on arithmetic varieties we construct height functions using arithmetic intersection theory. In the case of an arithmetic surface, generically of genus g, for line bundles of degree g equivalence is shown to the height on the Jacobian defined by Θ.

1 Introduction

In this paper we will suggest a construction for height functions for line bundles on arithmetic varieties. Following the philosophy of [BoGS] heights should be objects in arithmetic geometry analogous to degrees in algebraic geometry. So let K be a number field, $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers and $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$ an arithmetic variety, i.e. a regular scheme, projective and flat over $\mathcal{O}_K$, whose generic fiber X/K we assume to be connected of dimension d. Then we have to fix a metrized line bundle $(\mathcal{T}, \|.\|)$ or, equivalently, its first Chern class

$$\overset{\wedge}{c_1}(\mathcal{T}, \|.\|) = (T, g_T) \in \overset{\wedge}{\mathrm{CH}}{}^1(\mathcal{X}) \quad .$$

The height of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ should be the arithmetic degree of the intersection of $\overset{\wedge}{c_1}(\mathcal{L})$ with $(T, g_T)^d$. For this a natural hermitian metric has to be chosen on $\mathcal{L}$. We fix a Kähler metric ω_0 on $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$, invariant under complex conjugation F_∞, as in [Ar]. Then it is well known that the condition on the Chern form to be harmonic defines $\|.\|$ up to a locally constant factor.

In order to determine this factor we require

$$\overset{\wedge}{\deg}\left(\det R\pi_*\mathcal{L}, \|.\|_Q\right) = 0.$$

Here $\pi : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K$ is the structural morphism and $\|.\|_Q$ is Quillen's metric ([Qu], [BGS]) at the infinite places of K.

1.1 Fact. a) *If the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{L})$ does not vanish, such a metric exists.*
b) $\overset{\wedge}{c_1}(\mathcal{L}, \|.\|)$ *is uniquely determined up to a summand $(0, C)$, where $C = (C_\sigma)_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}}$ is a system of constants on $X \times_{\mathrm{Spec K},\sigma} \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{C}$ with*

$$\sum_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}} C_\sigma = 0 \qquad (\textit{and} \ \ C_\sigma = C_{\bar\sigma}).$$

---

[*] Mathematisches Institut, Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstraße 3-5, 37073 Göttingen, Germany
email: jahnel@cfgauss.uni-math.gwdg.de

1.2 Fact. *Such* $(0, C) \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(\mathcal{X})$ *are numerically trivial.*

1.3 Now we can state our fundamental

Definition. *The* height *of the line bundle* $\mathcal{L}$ *is given by*

$$h_{\mathcal{T},\omega_0}(\mathcal{L}) := \widehat{\deg}\ \pi_* \Big[\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{L}, \|.\|) \cdot (T, g_T)^d \Big],$$

where $\|.\|$ *is one of the distinguished metrics specified above.*

1.4 In this paper we will analyze this definition in the case of arithmetic surfaces. Our main result is

Theorem. *Let* $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}_K$ *be a regular projective variety of dimension* 2*, flat over* $\mathcal{O}_K$ *and generically connected of genus* g*,* $x \in (\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathrm{Spec}\ K)(K)$ *be a* K*-valued point and* Θ *be the Theta divisor on the Jacobian* $J = \mathrm{Pic}^g(C)$ *(defined using* x*). On*

$$\coprod_{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \Big(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{C} \Big)(\mathbb{C})$$

let ω *be a Kähler form invariant under* F_∞ *and normalized by*

$$\int_{(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K, \sigma} \mathrm{Spec}\mathbb{C})(\mathbb{C})} \omega = 1$$

for every σ*.*

Then, for line bundles $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{C}$*, fiber-by-fiber of degree* g *and of degree of absolute value less than* H *on every irreducible component of the special fibers of* $\mathcal{C}$ *(with some constant* $H \in \mathbb{N}$*)*

$$h_{x,\omega}(\mathcal{L}) = h_\Theta(\mathcal{L}_K) + \mathrm{O}(1),$$

where h_Θ *is the height on* J *defined using the ample divisor* Θ*.*

1.5 Remark. Another connection between heights on the Jacobian of a curve and arithmetic intersection theory was obtained by Faltings [Fa] and Hriljac [Hr]. Recently it has been generalized to higher dimensions and higher codimension Chow groups by Künnemann [Kü]. They can write down an explicit formula for the Néron-Tate height pairing on the Jacobian (higher Picard variety) in terms of arithmetic intersection theory. The main point is that they consider line bundles (cycles) algebraically equivalent to zero. So there is no need for them to scale a metric (to specify the infinite part of the arithmetic cycles occuring). Our approach, to the contrary, seems to work best for sufficiently ample algebraic equivalence classes of line bundles. A formal relationship between our approach and the other one is not known to the author.

1.6 In order to prove the two facts above we will use the following simple

Lemma. *Let* $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ *be a smooth proper map of complex manifolds, where* X *has a Kähler structure* ω *and* Y *is connected, and* E *be a holomorphic vector bundle on* X*. For a hermitian metric* $\|.\|$ *on* E *and a constant factor* $D > 0$ *we have*

$$h_{Q,(E,D\cdot\|.\|)} = h_{Q,(E,\|.\|)} \cdot D^{\chi(E)}.$$

Proof. The homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E, \|.\|) & \longrightarrow & (E, D \cdot \|.\|) \\ s & \mapsto & \dfrac{1}{D} \cdot s \end{array}$$

is an isometry inducing the isometry

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Big(\det R\pi_*E, h_{Q,(E,\|.\|)}\Big) & \longrightarrow & \Big(\det R\pi_*E, h_{Q,(E,D\cdot\|.\|)}\Big) \\ x & \mapsto & D^{-\chi(E)}\cdot x \end{array} .$$

□

1.7 Proof of Fact 1. Existence: Multiplication of $\|.\|$ by D will change the Quillen metric by the factor $D^{\chi(E)}$ and therefore $\overset{\wedge}{\deg}\det R\pi_*E$ by the summand $[K:\mathbb{Q}]\chi(E)\log D$. **Uniqueness:** The harmonicity condition and invariance under F_∞ determine $\|.\|$ up to constant factors $D_\sigma > 0$ for each $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $D_\sigma = D_{\bar\sigma}$. The scaling condition requires

$$\prod_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}} D_\sigma^{\chi(E)} = 1$$

or $\sum_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}}\log D_\sigma = 0$.

□

1.8 Proof of Fact 2. Let $(Z, g_Z) \in \overset{\wedge}{\mathrm{CH}}_1(\mathcal{X})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(0,C)\cdot(Z,g_Z) &= (0, g_Z\cdot\omega_{(0,C)} + C\cdot\delta_Z)\\ &= (0, C\cdot\delta_Z) \quad .\end{aligned}$$

Z is a zero-cycle on X, so δ_Z will have, independently on σ, always the integral $\deg Z$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\wedge}{\deg}\ \pi_*\Big[(0,C)\cdot(Z,g_Z)\Big] &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_\sigma \left[C_\sigma\int_{X\times_{\mathrm{SpecK},\sigma}\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C})}\delta_Z\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\,(\sum_\sigma C_\sigma)\cdot\deg Z\\ &= 0\ .\end{aligned}$$

□

2 Divisors versus points of the Jacobian

2.1 The remainder of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.4. So let C/K be a regular proper algebraic curve of genus g with $C(K) \neq \emptyset$. We consider a regular projective model $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}_K$. Denote by $J = \mathrm{Pic}^g_{C/K}$ the Jacobian of C. When $x \in C(K)$ is chosen we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}^{g-1}_{C/K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^g_{C/K} = J$ and thus the divisor Θ on J. Θ induces a closed embedding $i' : J \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^N_K$ and a "naive" height for K-valued points of J:

$$h_\Theta(D) := \log\left(\prod_{\nu\in M_K}\max\{\|i(D)_0\|_\nu,\ \ldots\ ,\|i(D)_N\|_\nu\}\right) .$$

Accordingly $j^*(\Theta)$ induces a morphism $i : C^g \xrightarrow{j} J \xrightarrow{i'} \mathbf{P}^N_K$ and a height function $h_{j^*(\Theta)}$ for K-valued points of C^g. Here j denotes the natural map sending a divisor to its associated line bundle. A general construction for heights defined by a divisor, the "height machine", is given in [CS, Chapter VI, Theorem 3.3].

The underlying height h for K-valued points of $\mathbf{P}^N_K$ is a height in the sense of Arakelov theory [BoGS] as follows: We choose the regular projective model $\mathbf{P}^N_{\mathcal{O}_K} \supseteq \mathbf{P}^N_K$. Every K-valued point y of $\mathbf{P}^N_K$ can be extended uniquely to an $\mathcal{O}_K$-valued point $\underline{y}$ of $\mathbf{P}^N_{\mathcal{O}_K}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ be the hermitian line bundle on $\mathbf{P}^N_{\mathcal{O}_K}$, where the hermitian metrics at the infinite places are given by

$$\|x_0\| := \left(1 + \left|\frac{x_1}{x_0}\right|^2 + \ldots + \left|\frac{x_N}{x_0}\right|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \left(\text{i.e.}\quad \|x_i\| := \left(\left|\frac{x_0}{x_i}\right|^2 + \ldots + 1 + \ldots + \left|\frac{x_N}{x_i}\right|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .$$

Then $h = h_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}}$ is the height defined by $\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ in the sense of [BoGS, Definition 3.1.; formula (3.1.6)].

2.2 Remark. We need a better understanding of $\mathcal{O}(j^*(\Theta))$. By Riemann's Theorem [GH, Chapter 2, §7] one has $\Theta = \frac{1}{(g-1)!} j_*((x) \times C^{g-1})$, where $j : C^g \xrightarrow{p} C^{(g)} \xrightarrow{c} J$ factors into a morphism finite flat of degree $g!$ and a birational morphism. So $j^*(\Theta)$ is an effective divisor containing the summands $\pi_k^*(x)$, where $\pi_k : C^g \longrightarrow C$ denotes k-th projection.

$$\mathcal{O}\Big(j^*\left(\Theta\right)\Big) = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{g} \pi_k^*\Big(\mathcal{O}(x)\Big) \otimes \mathcal{O}\Big(p^*(R)\Big)$$

Intuitively, the divisor R on $C^{(g)}$ corresponds to the divisors on C moving in a linear system. This can be made precise, but we will not need that here.

2.3 Remark. It is a difficulty that there are no regular projective models available for J and C^g, such that arithmetic intersection theory does not work immediately. So we follow [BoGS, Remark after Proposition 3.2.1.] and consider a projective (not necessarily regular) model of C^g, namely $\mathcal{C}^g := \mathcal{C} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \ldots \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}$. Hereon let $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ be a line bundle extending $\bigotimes_{k=1}^g \pi_k^*\mathcal{O}(x)$ equipped with a hermitian metric. One has to define a height $h_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}$ induced by $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$.

Consider more generally a projective (singular) arithmetic variety $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$ and a hermitian line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$. Then there is a morphism $\iota : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow P$ into a projective variety P smooth over Spec $\mathcal{O}_K$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}$ on P such that $\iota^*(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{U}$ (see [Fu, Lemma 3.2.], cf. [BoGS, Remark 2.3.1.ii)]). We can even choose ι in such a way that the hermitian metric on $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is a pullback of one on $\mathcal{U}_P$ (e.g. as a closed embedding).

$$\iota^*\Big(\overline{\mathcal{U}_P}\Big) = \overline{\mathcal{U}}$$

Then for an $\mathcal{O}_K$-valued point $\underline{y}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ one defines

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}\Big(\underline{y}\Big) &:= h_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}_P}\Big(\iota_*(\underline{y})\Big) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\ \widehat{c}_1\ (\overline{\mathcal{U}_P})\Big|\iota_*(y)\right) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where $(.|.)$ denotes the pairing $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1\ (P) \times \mathrm{Z}_1(P) \longrightarrow\ \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1\ (\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ from [BoGS, 2.3.]. In [BoGS, Remark after Proposition 3.2.1.] independence of this definition of the ι chosen is shown. In particular it becomes clear at this point that the pairing $\left(\ \widehat{c}_1\ (.)\Big|.\right)$ can be extended to arbitrary (singular) projective arithmetic varieties over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and satisfies the projection formula

$$\left(\ \widehat{c}_1\ (L)\Big|f_*(Z)\right) = \left(\ \widehat{c}_1\ (f^*(L))\Big|Z\right) \ .$$

2.4 Remark. If $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is a regular arithmetic variety, one has another pairing

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [.,.] : \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(\mathcal{X}) \times \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_1(\mathcal{X}) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ (z,y) & \mapsto & \pi_*[z \cdot y] \ . \end{array}$$

We note that also $\left[\ \widehat{c}_1\ (.), .\right]$ can be extended to arbitrary (singular away from the generic fiber) projective arithmetic varieties. One has to represent y by a cycle (Y, g_Y) and to put

$$\left[\ \widehat{c}_1\ (\overline{\mathcal{U}}), Y\right] := \left(\ \widehat{c}_1\ (\overline{\mathcal{U}}) \middle| Y\right) + \left(0, \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})} g_Y \omega_{\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{U}})}\right)_{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}}\right)$$

obtaining a pairing satisfying the projection formula

$$\left[\ \widehat{c}_1\ (f^*(\overline{\mathcal{U}})), y\right] = \left[\ \widehat{c}_1\ (\overline{\mathcal{U}}), f_*(y)\right]$$

for f proper and smooth on the generic fiber. In particular, independence of the cycle chosen carries over from the regular case. Indeed, concerning a trivial arithmetic 1-cycle one is automatically reduced to surfaces and resolution of singularities is known for two-dimensional schemes [CS, Chapter XI by M. Artin]. Let f be one. Note that for cycles with $\omega_y = 0$ the push-forward f_* makes sense for any proper f.

If f is a proper birational map inducing an isomorphism on the generic fibers one has $f_* f^* w = w$ for arithmetic one-cycles and therefore

$$\left[\ \widehat{c}_1\ (f^*(\overline{\mathcal{U}})), f^*(w)\right] = \left[\ \widehat{c}_1\ (\overline{\mathcal{U}}), w\right] \ . \tag{1}$$

This is useful for the special case of a (singular) projective arithmetic surface. There $[.,.]$ can be specialized to a pairing $\left[\ \widehat{c}_1\ (.), \widehat{c}_1\ (.)\right]$ between hermitian line bundles. This one is symmetric. Indeed, formula (1) tells us, that it is enough to show that after pullback by a birational morphism. But for regular arithmetic surfaces symmetry is clear.

2.5 Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$ be a (singular) projective arithmetic variety and X/K its generic fiber which is assumed to be regular. Further, let D be a divisor on X and $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ be a hermitian line bundle extending $\mathcal{O}(D)$. Then $h_D = h_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}} + \mathrm{O}(1)$ for K-valued points of X.*

Proof. There is a very ample line bundle on X that can be extended to $\mathcal{X}$. So we may assume D to be basepoint-free (very ample). Then the two height functions arise from the situations

$$\begin{tikzcd} & & \overline{\mathcal{O}(1)} \arrow[d, dash] & \text{and} & & \overline{\mathcal{U}} \arrow[d, dash] & \overline{\mathcal{U}_P} \arrow[d, dash] \\ \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K \arrow[r, hook, "y"] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[r, dashed, "i"] & \mathbf{P}^N_{\mathcal{O}_K} & & \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K \arrow[r, hook, "y"] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[r, "\iota"] & P \end{tikzcd} \ .$$

Here i is the rational map defined by an extension $\mathcal{U}'$ of $\mathcal{O}(D)$ over $\mathcal{X}$. In the generic fiber i is defined everywhere. Note that iy is a morphism by the valuative criterion. Of course, it comes from sections of the line bundle $y^*\mathcal{U}'$. Note that $\mathcal{U}'$ is equipped with a hermitian metric induced by that on $\mathcal{O}(1)$. $\iota : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow P$ is a morphism into a smooth scheme as described above. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} h_D(y) &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\widehat{c}_1\left(\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}\right) \middle| (iy)_*(\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K)\right) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\widehat{c}_1\left((iy)^*\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}\right) \middle| \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K\right) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\widehat{c}_1\left((iy)^*\overline{\mathcal{O}(1)}\right)\right) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\widehat{c}_1\left(y^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{U}'}\right)\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and, correspondingly,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}(y) &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\widehat{c}_1\left(\overline{\mathcal{U}_P}\right)\Big|(\iota y)_*(\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K)\right) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\widehat{c}_1\left(y^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{U}}\right)\right)\Big|\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K\right) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\widehat{c}_1\left(y^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{U}}\right)\right)\right) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

But $\overline{\mathcal{U}'}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ coincide as line bundles on the generic fiber. As bundles their difference is some $\mathcal{O}(E)$ where E is a divisor contained in the special fibers of $\mathcal{X}$, while the hermitian metrics differ by a continuous, hence bounded, factor. Therefore, the first arithmetic Chern classes of the pullbacks considered differ only at the infinite and a finite number of finite places by bounded summands.

□

2.6 Remark. a) When one considers L-valued points instead of K-valued ones, where L is a number field with $[L : K] = d$, the error term becomes $\mathrm{O}(d)$; i. e. there is a constant C such that

$$\Big|h_D(x) - h_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}(x)\Big| < C \cdot d$$

for L-valued points x of X and an arbitrary number field L/K. The reason for that is simply that the number of the critical places occuring grows as $\mathrm{O}(d)$.
b) The lemma can be applied to $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}^g$ and $D = \sum_{k=1}^{g} \pi_k^*(x)$, since $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{g} \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}(\overline{x})$ extends $\mathcal{O}(D)$.

2.7 The height defined by an extension $\mathcal{U}$ of $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{g} \pi_k^*(\mathcal{O}(x))$ is understood by the following
Proposition. *On $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}_K$ let $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ be the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\overline{x})$, where $\overline{x}$ denotes the closure of x in $\mathcal{C}$, equipped with a hermitian metric. For L-valued points $P = (P_1, \ldots, P_g)$ of C^g we consider the divisor $\underline{P} := (P_1) + \ldots + (P_g)$ on C. Then*

$$h_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}(\underline{P}) = h_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}(P) + O(d) \quad .$$

Proof. By [BoGS, Proposition 3.2.2.ii)] we may assume $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{O}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{g} \pi_k^*(\overline{x})\Big)$, where $\overline{x}$ is the closure of x in $\mathcal{C}$. The extensions of P and $\underline{P}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^g$ will be denoted by $(\overline{P_1}) + \ldots + (\overline{P_g})$, respectively $(\overline{P_1}, \ldots, \overline{P_g})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}\Big((\overline{P_1}) + \ldots + (\overline{P_g})\Big) &= \widehat{\deg}\Big(\widehat{c}_1\ (\overline{\mathcal{S}})\Big|(\overline{P_1}) + \ldots + (\overline{P_g})\Big) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{g} \widehat{\deg}\Big(\widehat{c}_1\ (\overline{\mathcal{S}})\Big|(\overline{P_k})\Big) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{g} \widehat{\deg}\Big(\widehat{c}_1\ \big(\pi_k^*(\overline{\mathcal{S}})\big)\Big|(\overline{P_1},\ \ldots\ ,\overline{P_g})\Big) \qquad \text{"projection formula"} \\ &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\widehat{c}_1\left(\bigotimes_{k=1}^{g} \pi_k^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{S}}\right)\right)\Big|\left(\overline{P_1},\ \ldots\ ,\overline{P_g}\right)\right) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

But by construction $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{g} \pi_k^*(\overline{\mathcal{S}})$ is the line bundle $\mathcal{U}$, equipped with a hermitian metric (and by definition the formula $\Big(\widehat{c}_1\ (\otimes_k \overline{\mathcal{L}_k})\Big|Z\Big) = \sum_k \Big(\widehat{c}_1\ (\overline{\mathcal{L}_k})\Big|Z\Big)$ holds in singular case, too). So we have

$$h_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}\Big((\overline{P_1}) + \ldots + (\overline{P_g})\Big) = h_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}'}\Big((\overline{P_1},\ \ldots\ ,\overline{P_g})\Big) \quad ,$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{U}}'$ differs from $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ only by the hermitian metric. The claim follows from [BoGS, Proposition 3.2.2.i)].

□

2.8 Corollary. *Let $P \in C^g(L)$ and $\underline{P}$ be the associated divisor on C. Then*

$$h_\Theta(\mathcal{O}(\underline{P})) = h_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}(\underline{P}) + h_R(p_* P) + \mathrm{O}(d) \quad ,$$

where h_R denotes the height for points of $C^{(g)}$ defined by R.

3 An observation concerning the tautological line bundle

In this section we start analyzing the fundamental definition 1.3. First we will consider only varieties over number fields and forget about integral models.

3.1 Definition. *Let Δ be the diagonal in $C \times C$. Then*

$$\underline{\underline{\mathcal{E}}} := \bigotimes_{k=1}^{g} \pi^*_{k,g+1}\Big(\mathcal{O}(\Delta)\Big)$$

will be called the tautological line bundle *on $C^g \times C$. Note that the restriction of $\underline{\underline{\mathcal{E}}}$ to $\{(P_1, \dots, P_g)\} \times C$ equals $\mathcal{O}(P_1 + \dots + P_g)$. By construction $\underline{\underline{\mathcal{E}}}$ is the pullback of some line bundle $\mathcal{E}$, said to be* the tautological *one on $C^{(g)} \times C$.*

$$\underline{\underline{\mathcal{E}}} = (p \times id)^*(\mathcal{E})$$

3.2 Proposition. *We have* $\det R\pi_*\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}}(-R)$.

3.3 This will be a direct consequence of the following
Lemma. *Let $\underline{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_C^*(\mathcal{O}(-x))$ be a tautological line bundle fiber-by-fiber of degree $g-1$. Then*

$$\det R\pi_*\underline{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}}\Big(-c^*(\Theta)\Big) \quad .$$

Proof. The canonical map $c : C^{(g)} \longrightarrow J$ is given by $\mathcal{E}$ using Picard functoriality. So for a tautological line bundle $\mathcal{M}$, fiber-by-fiber of degree g on $J \times C$, one has

$$\mathcal{E} = (c \times id)^*\mathcal{M} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{H} \quad ,$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is a line bundle on $C^{(g)}$. Putting $\mathcal{M}_0 := \mathcal{M} \otimes \pi_C^*\mathcal{O}(-x)$, where $\pi_C : J \times C \longrightarrow C$ denotes here the canonical projection from $J \times C$, we get

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}} = (c \times id)^*\mathcal{M}_0 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{H} \quad .$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \det R\pi_*\underline{\mathcal{E}} &\cong \det R\pi_*\Big[(c \times id)^*\mathcal{M}_0 \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{H}\Big] \\ &= \det R\pi_*\Big[(c \times id)^*\mathcal{M}_0\Big] \\ &= c^* \det R\pi_*\mathcal{M}_0 \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where we first used the projection formula, which is particularly simple here, since line bundles, fiber-by-fiber of degree $g-1$, have relative Euler characteristic 0, and afterwords noted that the determinant of cohomology commutes with arbitrary base change [KM]. But by [MB, Proposition 2.4.2] or [Fa, p. 396] we know $\det R\pi_*\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{O}_J(-\Theta)$. The assertion follows.

□

3.4 Proof of the Proposition. The short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{C^{(g)}\times\{x\}} \longrightarrow 0$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \det R\pi_*\mathcal{E} &= \det R\pi_*\underline{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{g!}p_*\left(\sum_{k=1}^{g}\pi_k^*(x)\right)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(-c^*(\Theta)\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{g!}p_*\left(\sum_{k=1}^{g}\pi_k^*(x)\right)\right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(-R) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

□

3.5 Corollary. $\det R\pi_*(\mathcal{E}\otimes\pi^*\mathcal{O}(R)) = \mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}}$.
Proof. This is the projection formula for the determinant of cohomology.

□

3.6 Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the Néron model of the Jacobian J of C. It is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_K$, consequently $\mathcal{J}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K}\mathcal{C}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{C}$ and therefore regular. We note that any K-valued point of J can be extended uniquely to an $\mathcal{O}_K$-valued point of $\mathcal{J}$.

On $J\times C$ we have a tautological line bundle $\mathcal{M}$, fiber-by-fiber of degree g. $\mathcal{M}$ can be extended over $\mathcal{J}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K}\mathcal{C}$. For this let $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{O}(D)$ with some Weil divisor D on $J\times C$. Its closure $\overline{D}$ in $\mathcal{J}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K}\mathcal{C}$ is obviously flat over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and therefore it has codimension 1. We choose the extension $\mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$ and denote it by $\mathcal{M}$ again.

$\mathcal{M}$ is a perfect complex of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K}\mathcal{C}}$-modules. For the existence of the Knudsen-Mumford determinant we need that

$$\pi : \mathcal{J}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K}\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}$$

has finite Tor-dimension. For this there exists a closed embedding $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow P$, where P is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Thus π factorizes as

$$\mathcal{J}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K}\mathcal{C} \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{J}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K}P \overset{\text{smooth}}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathcal{J} \quad .$$

By [SGA 6, Exposé III, Proposition 3.6] it is enough to show that i has finite Tor-dimension. But i_* is exact and $\mathcal{J}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K}P$ is regular implying quasi-coherent sheaves have locally finite free resolutions of bounded length.

$\mathcal{M}$ has, relative to π, Euler characteristic 1. Therefore $\mathcal{M}$ can be changed by an inverse image of a line bundle on $\mathcal{J}$, trivial on the generic fiber, in such a way, that we are allowed to assume

$$\det R\pi_*\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}} \quad .$$

4 Choosing hermitian metrics continuously depending on moduli space

4.1 Fact. *On $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ there exists a hermitian metric $\underline{h}$ such that for every point $y \in J(\mathbb{C})$ the curvature form satisfies*

$$c_1(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C},y}, \underline{h}_y) = g\omega$$

on $(\{y\} \times C)(\mathbb{C}) \cong C(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The statement is local in $C^g(\mathbb{C})$ by partition of unity. By the Theorem on cohomology and base change $R^0\pi_*\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}(g-1)$ is locally free and commutes with arbitrary base change. Hence there exists, locally on $J(\mathbb{C})$, a rational section s of $\mathcal{M}$ that is neither undefined nor identically zero in any fiber.

First we choose an arbitrary hermitian metric $\|.\|$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then

$$\omega^{'} := -d_C d_C^c \log \|s\|^2 \tag{2}$$

defines a smooth $(1,1)$-form on $(J \times C)(\mathbb{C}) \backslash \mathrm{div}(s)$, that is fiber-by-fiber the curvature form to be considered. Since construction (2) is independent of s as soon as it makes sense at a point, we obtain $\omega^{'}$ as a smooth $(1,1)$-form on $(J \times C)(\mathbb{C})$ closed under d_C and cohomologous to $g\omega$ on $\{y\} \times C(\mathbb{C})$ for any $y \in C^g(\mathbb{C})$.

The setup $\|.\|_{\underline{h}} = f \cdot \|.\|$ gives the equation

$$\omega^{'} - g\omega = d_C d_C^c \log |f|^2 \quad . \tag{3}$$

But dd^c is an elliptic differential operator on the Riemann surface $C(\mathbb{C})$, so by Hodge theory it permits a Green‘s operator G compact with respect to every Sobolew norm $\|.\|_\alpha$. Consequently, there exists a solution f of (3) being smooth on $(J \times C)(\mathbb{C})$.

□

4.2 We note, that $\det R\pi_*\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}}$ and the isomorphism is uniquely determined up to units of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Namely, one has $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{J}}^*)$ and already $\Gamma(J, \mathcal{O}_J^*)$ consists of constants only. In particular, there is a unitary section, uniquely determined up to units of $\mathcal{O}_K$,

$$\mathbf{1} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{J}, \det R\pi_*\mathcal{M}) \quad .$$

4.3 Corollary. *Let $R \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ there exists exactly one hermitian metric h, such that for every point $y \in J(\mathbb{C})$ the curvature form $c_1(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C},y}, h_y) = \omega$ and for the Quillen metric one has*

$$h_{Q,h}(\mathbf{1}) = R \quad ,$$

where $\mathbf{1} \in \Gamma(\{y\}, \det R\pi_*\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C},y})$.

Proof. Let $\underline{h}$ be the hermitian metric from the preceeding fact. We may replace $\underline{h}$ by $f \cdot \underline{h}$ with $f \in C^\infty(J(\mathbb{C}))$ without any effort on the curvature forms, since they are invariant under scalation. As $\mathcal{M}$ has relative Euler characteristic 1, exactly

$$h := \frac{R}{h_{Q,\underline{h}}(\mathbf{1})} \cdot \underline{h}$$

satisfies the conditions required.

□

4.4 We have to consider $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $J(\mathbb{C}) \times (\coprod_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}} C(\mathbb{C}))$. The metric h on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has to be invariant under F_∞, its curvature form is required to be $g\omega$ and we want to realise

$$\prod_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}} h_{Q,h}(\mathbf{1}) = 1 \tag{4}$$

simultaneously for all $y \in J(\mathbb{C})$.

The first is possible since ω is invariant under F_∞ and the corollary above already gives conditions uniquely determining h. (4) can be obtained by scalation with a constant factor over all $J(\mathbb{C}) \times (\coprod_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}} C(\mathbb{C}))$.

Altogether, for every line bundle of degree g on C we have found a distinguished hermitian metric and seen that it depends, in some sense, continuously on the moduli space J. One obtains

4.5 Proposition. *Let K be a number field and $(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}_K, \omega)$ a regular connected Arakelov surface. Then, on the (non proper) Arakelov variety $(\mathcal{J} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}, \pi_C^*\omega)$ there is a hermitian line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ with the following properties.*
a)

$$(c \times id)^*(\mathcal{M}|_{J\times C}) = \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(R)$$

is the modified tautological line bundle found in section 3.
b) *The hermitian metric h on $\mathcal{M}|_{\coprod_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}}(J\times C)(\mathbb{C})}$ is invariant under F_∞ and has curvature form $g\omega$.*
c) *For any $y \in J(K)$ one has $\overline{\{y\}} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ and*

$$\overset{\wedge}{\deg}\left(\det R\pi_*\left(\mathcal{M}|_{\overline{\{y\}}\times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_K}\mathcal{C}}, h_{\mathcal{M},y}\right), \|.\|_{Q,h}\right) = 0 \quad .$$

Proof. b) and c) are clear. For a) we know $\mathcal{E} = (c \times id)^*(\mathcal{M}|_{J\times C}) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{H}$ from 4.3. But $\mathcal{H}$ is determined by $\det R\pi_*\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}}(-R)$ and $\det R\pi_*(\mathcal{M}|_{J\times C}) = \mathcal{O}_J$.

□

5 An integral model of the symmetric power

5.1 Remark. It turns out here to be very inconvenient to work directly with the Néron model $\mathcal{J}$ of the Jacobian of C. When one considers the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{M}|_{J\times C}$, fiber-by-fiber of degree g on $J \times C$ with $\det R\pi_*\mathcal{M}|_{J\times C} \cong \mathcal{O}_J$, then $\mathcal{M}|_{J\times C}$ will even have an (up to constant factor) canonical section.

$$\pi_*\mathcal{M}|_{J\times C} \cong \mathcal{O}_J$$

But this section is zero over a codimension two subset of J such that one is led to blow up this subset.

5.2 Lemma. a) *$C^{(g)}$ is a projective variety.*
b) *The divisor $S := \frac{1}{g!}p_*\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{g}\pi_k^*(x)\Big) = \frac{1}{(g-1)!}p_*\Big((x)\times C^{g-1}\Big)$ "one of the points is x" on $C^{(g)}$ is ample.*

Proof. a) There are at least two good reasons for that. First $C^{(g)}$ is proper as a quotient of the proper variety C^g and b) gives an ample line bundle. On the other hand we can give a high-powered argument as follows. $C^{(g)}$ is the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^g_{C/K}$ by [CS, Chapter VII by J. S. Milne, Theorem 3.13] and this is known to be projective for a long time [FGA, Exposé 221, Theorem 3.2].

b) By [EGA III, Proposition 2.6.2] it is enough to show that $p^*\mathcal{O}(S) = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{g} \pi_k^*\mathcal{O}(x)$ is an ample line bundle on C^g, which is obvious.

□

5.3 Proposition. *The morphism* $c : C^{(g)} \longrightarrow J$ *is the blow-up of some ideal sheaf* $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_J$ *with*

$$c^{-1}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}(-NR) \quad ,$$

where N *is a positive integer.*

Proof. c is birational and by the lemma it is a projective morphism. So it is a blow-up of some ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_J$. Going through the lines of the proof of [Ha, Chapter II, Theorem 7.17] one sees that $c_*(\mathcal{O}(NS))$ for $N \gg 0$, up to tensor product with line bundles in order to make them ideal sheaves, can be used as $\mathcal{I}$. But $c^*\mathcal{O}(\Theta) = \mathcal{O}(S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(R)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} c_*(\mathcal{O}(NS)) &= c_*\Big(\mathcal{O}(-NR) \otimes c^*\mathcal{O}(N\Theta)\Big) \\ &= c_*\Big(\mathcal{O}(-NR)\Big) \otimes \mathcal{O}(N\Theta) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\mathcal{I} = c_*\mathcal{O}(-NR)$ for some $N \gg 0$.

We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}}(-NR) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{R_N} \longrightarrow 0 \quad ,$$

where R_N denotes the N-th infinitesimal neighbourhood of R. It follows exactness of

$$0 \longrightarrow c_*\mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}}(-NR) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_J \longrightarrow c_*\mathcal{O}_{R_N} \quad .$$

Now the image of $\mathcal{O}_J \longrightarrow c_*\mathcal{O}_{R_N}$ is the structure sheaf of the scheme-theoretic image I_N of R_N in J. So $\mathcal{I} = c_*\mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}}(-NR) = \mathcal{I}_{I_N} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_J$. But $\mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}}/c^{-1}\mathcal{I}_{I_N} = c^*(\mathcal{O}_{C^g}/\mathcal{I}_{I_N})$ and therefore $c^{-1}\mathcal{I} = c^{-1}\mathcal{I}_{I_N}$ is the ideal sheaf of $I_N \times_J C^{(g)}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{C^{(g)}}$.

$c^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ is known to be invertible, so $I_N \times_J C^{(g)}$ is necessarily pure of codimension 1 and by construction it contains the scheme R_N. But $R = c^*c_*S - S$ contains with one point its complete fiber in $c : C^{(g)} \longrightarrow J$. So $I_N \times_J C^{(g)}$ must be an infinitesimal thickening of R_N. On the other hand, when one replaces R by S and considers the scheme-theoretic image $\underline{I_N}$ of the N-th infinitesimal neighbourhood S_N, then $\underline{I_N} \times_J C^{(g)} \supseteq I_N \times_J C^{(g)}$ is a pure codimension 1 subscheme not containing any thickening of R_N, but only other additional summands (it corresponds to the divisor $c^*(N\Theta)$). So, necessarily $I_N \times_J C^{(g)} = R_N$ and

$$c^{-1}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}(-NR) \quad .$$

□

5.4 Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ the normalization of the blow-up of $\mathcal{J}$ with respect to some extension $\underline{\mathcal{I}}$ of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ over $\mathcal{J}$.

Facts. a) *$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is some (singular) arithmetic variety proper over $\mathcal{J}$.*

b) *It is an integral model of* $C^{(g)}$.

c) *On $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ one has the line bundle*

$$\mathcal{R} := (c^{-1}\underline{\mathcal{I}})^\vee$$

extending $\mathcal{O}(NR)$ *for some* $N > 0$.

Note that we do not know whether we have an extension of $\mathcal{O}(R)$ over $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$.

5.5 On $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}$ we will consider the hermitian line bundle

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} := (c \times id)^* \overline{\mathcal{M}} \quad ,$$

where $c : \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ denotes here the extension of $C^{(g)} \longrightarrow J$ (the blow-down morphism).
Facts. a) $\mathcal{F}|_{J \times C} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(R)$.
b) *One has* $\det R\pi_* \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}}$.
Note here, $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is not regular, so we do not know whether $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ has finite Tor-dimension. Thus $\det R\pi_*$ does may be not exist as a functor, but for line bundles, coming by base change from $\mathcal{J} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}$, the definition makes sense.

5.6 Remark. All in all we obtain a decomposition

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes N} \cong \overline{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \pi^* \overline{\mathcal{R}}$$

of hermitian line bundles, where $\mathcal{K}$ extends $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes N}$, the N-th power of the tautological line bundle on $C^{(g)} \times C$.

5.7 Remark. Any line bundle of degree g on C gives an $\mathcal{O}_K$-valued point $y : \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K \times_{\mathcal{J},y} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ will be proper over $\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K$. So at least for some finite field extension L/K there will be an $\mathcal{O}_L$-valued point $\underline{y} : \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_L \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ lifting y. Proposition 4.5.c) gives

$$\overset{\wedge}{\deg} \left(\det R\pi_* \left(\mathcal{F}|_{\overline{\{y\}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}}, h_{\mathcal{F},y} \right), \|.\|_{Q,h} \right) = 0 \quad .$$

6 Decomposition into two summands

6.1 In this section we will restrict to the case that $\mathcal{C}$ is *semistable*, i. e. $\pi : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K$ is smooth up to codimension 2.
Lemma. a) *$\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}$ is a normal scheme.*
b) *$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is quasi-projective over $\mathcal{O}_K$.*
Proof. a) $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is normal, so $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{smooth}}$ is normal by [SGA1, Exposé I, Corollaire 9.10]. In particular $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}$ is regular in codimension 1. Further $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is flat with one dimensional fibers. By [EGA IV, Corollaire 6.4.2] $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}$ is Cohen-Macaulay in codimension 2.
b) $\mathcal{J}$ is quasi-projective over $\mathcal{O}_K$ by [CS, Chapter VIII by M. Artin, §4] and blow-ups are projective morphisms.

□

6.2 Remark. Let $\underline{y} : \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_L \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_L$-valued point lifting an $\mathcal{O}_K$-valued point $y : \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{x,\omega}\left(\mathcal{M}|_{y \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}}\right) &= \frac{1}{[L:K]N} \overset{\wedge}{\deg}\ \pi_* \left[\hat{c}_1 \left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes N}|_{\underline{y} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}} \right) \cdot (x, g_x) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{[L:K]N} \overset{\wedge}{\deg}\ \pi_* \left[\hat{c}_1 \left(\overline{\mathcal{K}}|_{\underline{y} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}} \right) \cdot (x, g_x) \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{[L:K]N} \overset{\wedge}{\deg}\ \pi_* \left[\hat{c}_1 \left(\pi^* \overline{\mathcal{R}}|_{\underline{y} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}} \right) \cdot (x, g_x) \right] \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

We note here, on Spec $\mathcal{O}_L \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}$ being in general a singular scheme, π_* of an intersection with an arithmetic Chern class is defined using an embedding into a regular scheme, where the line bundle comes from by base change (Remark 2.4, [Fu].) The first equality comes from projection formula. Note that x means here an $\mathcal{O}_L$-valued point of Spec $\mathcal{O}_L \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}$ whose push-forward to $\mathcal{C}$ is $[L:K](x)$.

6.3 Let us investigate the first summand. We have $\mathcal{K}|_{C^{(g)} \times C} = \mathcal{E}^{\otimes N}$ and this line bundle has a canonical section s, which can be extended over the finite places. Using this section we obtain the arithmetic cycle (div $(s), -\log \|s\|^2)$ representing

$$\hat{c}_1 (\overline{\mathcal{K}}) \in \ \overset{\wedge}{CH}{}^1 \left(\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C} \right) \quad .$$

The scheme part div(s) of this cycle is an extension of the tautological divisor representing $c_1(\mathcal{E}^{\otimes N}) \in \mathrm{CH}^1(C^{(g)} \times C)$ (whose restriction to $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_g)\} \times C$ is $N(x_1) + \ldots + N(x_g)$). So div (s) is the closure of that divisor, possibly plus a finite sum of divisors over the finite places. We note, that $\mathcal{K}$ is given by that divisor since $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}$ is normal. Consequently, if $\underline{y}$ restricts to the L-valued point corresponding to the divisor D on C, then

$$c_1 \left(\mathcal{K}|_{\underline{y} \times_{\text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}} \right) = \left(\overline{D} \right) + (\text{correction terms}) \quad ,$$

where $\overline{D}$ denotes the closure of ND over $\mathcal{C}$ and the correction terms are vertical divisors which (over all the y) occur only over a finite amount of finite places. Their intersection numbers with (x, g_x), i. e. with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(x)$, are bounded by $\mathrm{O}([L:K])$.

The infinite part f of $\hat{c}_1 (\overline{\mathcal{K}}) = (\mathcal{D}, f)$ is a function on $(C^{(g)} \times C) \backslash$div (s) whose pullback to $C^g \times C$ satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 8.4. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{[L{:}K]N} \overset{\wedge}{\deg}\, \pi_* \left[\hat{c}_1 \left(\overline{\mathcal{K}}|_{\underline{y} \times_{\text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}} \right) \cdot (x, g_x) \right] &= \frac{1}{[L{:}K]N} \left[\overset{\wedge}{\deg} \left(\hat{c}_1 \left(\overline{\mathcal{O}(x)} \right) \;\middle|\; \left(\mathcal{D}|_{\underline{y} \times_{\text{Spec } O_K} \mathcal{C}} \right) \right) \quad \ldots \right. \\ & \qquad \left. \ldots \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \int_{C(\mathbb{C})} f_D \omega_x \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} h_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}(ND) + \mathrm{O}(1) \\ &= h_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}}(D) + \mathrm{O}(1) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Note, for the first equation we used the symmetry of the intersection form for hermitian line bundles (Remark 2.4). The denominator $[L:K]$ disappears by [BoGS, formula (3.1.8)].

6.4 The second summand is simpler. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_1 \left(\pi^* \overline{\mathcal{R}}|_{\underline{y} \times_{\text{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}} \right) \cdot (x, g_x) &= \pi^* \, \hat{c}_1 \left(\mathcal{R}|_{\underline{y}} \right) \cdot (x, g_x) \\ &= \hat{c}_1 \left(\mathcal{R}|_{\underline{y}} \right) + \left(0, g_x \omega_R(\underline{y}) \right) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

when one identifies $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K} \overline{\{x\}}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$. The integral $\int_{C(\mathbb{C})} g_x \omega_R(.)$ depends smoothly on the parameter, in particular it is bounded. So the push-forward of the right summand is bounded by $\mathrm{O}([L:K])$. On the other hand $\pi_* \, \hat{c}_1 \left(\mathcal{R}|_{\underline{y}} \right) = \left(\hat{c}_1 (\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \;\middle|\; \underline{y} \right)$, where the last term is defined by embedding $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ into a scheme P smooth and projective over $\mathcal{O}_K$ [Fu]. Note here we use $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is quasi-projective. Thus Lemma 2.5 gives

$$\overset{\wedge}{\deg} \left(\hat{c}_1 (\overline{\mathcal{R}}) \;\middle|\; \underline{y} \right) = \overset{\wedge}{\deg} \left(\hat{c}_1 (\overline{\mathcal{R}_P}) \;\middle|\; \iota_*(\underline{y}) \right) = h_{\overline{\mathcal{R}_P}} \left(\iota_*(\underline{y}) \right) = h_{R_P} (\iota_* D) = h_R(D) \quad ,$$

where D is the divisor corresponding to the restriction of $\underline{y}$ to $C^{(g)}$.

6.5 We obtain
Proposition. *Assume $\mathcal{C}$ is semistable and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$, where $\overline{D}$ is the closure of some divisor on C. Then Theorem 1.4 is true.*
Proof. By Corollary 2.8 this is now proven for line bundles coming by restriction from $\mathcal{M}$. This way one can realize the line bundles $\mathcal{O}(D)$ on the generic fiber C for arbitrary divisors D (defined over K) of degree g over C. Consider the degrees

$$\deg \mathcal{M}|_{y \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p},i}}$$

for $\mathcal{O}_K$-valued points y of $\mathcal{J}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p},i}$ denote the irreducible components of the special fiber $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. They are even defined for $\overline{\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}}$-valued points, where the bar denotes algebraic closure here, and are locally constant over the special fiber $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular they are bounded since the Néron model of an abelian variety is of finite type. The Proposition follows from Lemma 8.3.

□

7 End of the proof

7.1 Lemma. Let $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}_K$ be a regular projective arithmetic surface and $p : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a blow-up of one point. Then

$$h_{x,\omega}(\mathcal{L}) = h_{x,\omega}(p^*\mathcal{L}) \quad ,$$

where $x \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle with $\chi(\mathcal{L}) \neq 0$.
Proof. Obviously $p_*p^*\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$ and [SGA6, Exposé VII, Lemma 3.5] gives $R^ip_*p^*\mathcal{L} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. In particular $Rp_*(p^*\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$, $R(\pi p)_*(p^*\mathcal{L}) = R\pi_*\mathcal{L}$ and $\det R(\pi p)_*(p^*\mathcal{L}) = \det R\pi_*\mathcal{L}$. This means that $\mathcal{L}$ and $p^*\mathcal{L}$ get identical distinguished metrics and therefore $\overset{\wedge}{c_1}(p^*\mathcal{L}, \|.\|_{p^*\mathcal{L}}) = p^* \overset{\wedge}{c_1}(\mathcal{L}, \|.\|_{\mathcal{L}})$. On the other hand $p^*(x, g_x) = (x, g_x)+$(exceptional divisor), but an exceptional divisor intersects trivially with cycles coming from downstairs. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{x,\omega}(p^*\mathcal{L}) &= \overset{\wedge}{\deg}\,(\pi p)_* \left[p^* \overset{\wedge}{c_1}(\mathcal{L}, \|.\|_{\mathcal{L}}) \cdot p^*(x, g_x)\right] \\ &= \overset{\wedge}{\deg}\,(\pi p)_* p^* \left[\overset{\wedge}{c_1}(\mathcal{L}, \|.\|_{\mathcal{L}}) \cdot (x, g_x)\right] \\ &= \overset{\wedge}{\deg}\,\pi_* \left[\overset{\wedge}{c_1}(\mathcal{L}, \|.\|_{\mathcal{L}}) \cdot (x, g_x)\right] \qquad \text{"projection formula"} \\ &= h_{x,\omega}(\mathcal{L}) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

□

7.2 Corollary. (Change of model.)
Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2/\mathcal{O}_K$ be two regular projective models of the curve C/K of genus g. Then, for divisors D of degree g on C

$$h_{x,\omega}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\overline{D})\right) = h_{x,\omega}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\overline{D})\right) + \mathrm{O}(1) \quad ,$$

where $\overline{D}$ denotes the closure of D in $\mathcal{C}_1$, respectively $\mathcal{C}_2$.
Proof. By [Li, Theorem II.1.15] one is reduced to the case of the blow-up of one point

$p : \mathcal{C}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$. By Lemma 7.1 we have to bound the difference $h_{x,\omega}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\overline{D})\right) - h_{x,\omega}\left(p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\overline{D})\right)$. $\overline{D}$ will meet the point blown up i times ($0 \leq i \leq g$). We get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\overline{D}) \longrightarrow p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\overline{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E^i} \longrightarrow 0 \quad ,$$

where E is the exceptional curve and E^i denotes its i-th infinitesimal neighbourhood. But now the assertion is a direct consequence of Lemma 8.2.

□

7.3 Lemma. (Change of base field.)
Let $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}_K$ be a regular arithmetic surface, generically of genus g, L/K a finite field extension and

$$p : \mathcal{C}' = \overline{\mathcal{C} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K} \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

be some resolution of singularities of the base change to $\mathcal{O}_L$. Then, for divisors D of degree g on $C = \mathcal{C} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K} \text{Spec } K$,

$$h_{x,\omega}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\overline{p^*D})\right) = [L : K] \cdot h_{x,\omega}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\overline{D})\right) + \mathrm{O}(1) \quad .$$

Proof. p is a composition of blow-ups and finite morphisms [CS, Chapter XI by M. Artin]. Using the first formulas in the proof of Lemma 7.1 successively we obtain $Rp_*p^*\mathcal{O}(\overline{D}) = \mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$ and $\det R(\pi p)_*p^*\left(\mathcal{O}(\overline{D})\right) = \det R\pi_*\mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$ such that $\mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$ and $p^*\mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$ get identical distinguished metrics. Here it follows

$$h_{x,\omega}\left(p^*\mathcal{O}(\overline{D})\right) = [L : K] \cdot h_{x,\omega}\left(\mathcal{O}(\overline{D})\right) \quad ,$$

since p is a morphism of degree $[L : K]$ and the projection formula gives $p_*p^*Z = [L : K]Z$. $p^*\mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\overline{p^*D})$ differ by a limited combination of the exceptional divisors such that the assertion follows from Lemma 8.2.

□

7.4 Proposition. *For line bundles $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(\overline{D})$, where $\overline{D}$ is the closure of some divisor of degree g on C, Theorem 1.4 is true.*
Proof. By [AW, Corollary 2.10] there is a stable model for $C \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } L$ after some finite field extension L/K. The assertion follows from Proposition 6.5.

□

7.5 Proposition. *Theorem 1.4 is true.*
Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 7.4 and Lemma 8.3.

□

8 Some technical Lemmata

8.1 Lemma. (Fibers do not change the height.)
If $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}_K$ with $\chi(\mathcal{L}) \neq 0$, then

$$h_{x,\omega}\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p})\right) = h_{x,\omega}(\mathcal{L})$$

for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$.
Proof. One has $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}) = \pi^*(\mathfrak{p}^{-1})$, hence by projection formula

$$\det R\pi_*\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p})\right) \cong \det R\pi_*\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p})^{-\chi(\mathcal{L})} \quad .$$

Let $\|.\|$ be one of the distinguished metrics on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\coprod_{\sigma:K\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}} C(\mathbb{C})$. We put $\|.\|_{\mathfrak{p}} = C \cdot \|.\|$ for a distinguished hermitian metric on $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}))_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}$. It follows $h_{Q,\det R\pi_*(\mathcal{L}\otimes\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}))} = C^{\chi(\mathcal{L})} \cdot h_{Q,\det R\pi_*\mathcal{L}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg}\left(\det R\pi_*(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p})), h_{Q,\det R\pi_*(\mathcal{L}\otimes\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}))}\right) &= \widehat{\deg}\left(\det R\pi_*\mathcal{L}, h_{Q,\det R\pi_*\mathcal{L}}\right) \\ &+ \chi(\mathcal{L})\Big[[K:\mathbb{Q}]\log C - \log(\sharp\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})\Big] \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Thus a distinguished hermitian metric on $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}))_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be given by $\|.\|_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\sharp\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})^{\frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}} \cdot \|.\|$ and it follows

$$\widehat{c}_1\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{p}), \|.\|_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = \widehat{c}_1\left(\mathcal{L}, \|.\|\right) + \pi^*\left(\mathfrak{p}; -\frac{2}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}\log(\sharp\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}), \ldots, -\frac{2}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}\log(\sharp\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})\right) \quad .$$

But the arithmetic cycle $\left(\mathfrak{p}; -\frac{2}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}\log(\sharp\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}), \ldots, -\frac{2}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}\log(\sharp\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p})\right) \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^1(\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K)$ vanishes after multiplication with the class number $\sharp\mathrm{Pic}\ (\mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}_K)$, hence it is torsion and therefore numerically trivial.

$\square$

8.2 Lemma. *Let F be some vertical divisor on $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{O}_K$. Then, for line bundles $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{C}$, fiber-by-fiber of degree g,*

$$h_{x,\omega}(\mathcal{L}(F)) = h_{x,\omega}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathrm{O}(1) \quad .$$

Proof. By Lemma 8.1 we may assume that $E := -F$ is effective. Using induction we are reduced to the case E is an irreducible curve. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_E \longrightarrow 0$$

inducing the isomorphism

$$\det R\pi_*\mathcal{L}(F) \cong \det R\pi_*\mathcal{L} \otimes (\det R\pi_*\mathcal{L}_E)^{\vee} \quad .$$

But $\det R\pi_*\mathcal{L}_E$ depends only on the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{L}_E$ and for the degree of that bundle there are only $g+1$ possibilities. So up to numerical equivalence there are only $g+1$ possibilities for

$$\widehat{c}_1\left(\mathcal{L}(F), \|.\|_{\mathcal{L}(F)}\right) - \widehat{c}_1\left(\mathcal{L}, \|.\|_{\mathcal{L}}\right) \quad ,$$

where $\|.\|_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\|.\|_{\mathcal{L}(F)}$ denote distinguished hermitian metrics.

□

8.3 Lemma. *Consider line bundles $\mathcal{L}$, generically of degree g on $\mathcal{C}$, equipped with a section $s \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}_C)$ over the generic fiber, and assume the degrees* $\deg \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p},i}}$ *of the restrictions of $\mathcal{L}$ to the irreducible components of the special fibers to be fixed. Then*

$$h_{x,\omega}(\mathcal{L}) = h_{x,\omega}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(\overline{\operatorname{div}(s)}\right)\right) + \mathrm{O}(1) \quad .$$

Proof. We have $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{'}(E)$, where $\mathcal{L}^{'} = \mathcal{O}\left(\overline{\operatorname{div}(s)}\right)$ is a line bundle induced by a horizontal divisor and E is a vertical divisor. By Lemma 8.1 we may assume E to be concentrated in the reducible fibers of $\mathcal{C}$. So, using induction, let E be in one such fiber $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then for the degrees $\deg \mathcal{O}(E)|_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p},i}}$ there are only finitely many possibilities. But by [Fa, Theorem 4.a)] the intersection form on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is negative semi-definite where only multiples of the fiber have square 0.

Hence, for E there are only finitely many possibilities up to addition of the whole fiber, which does not change the height. Lemma 8.2 gives the claim.

$\square$

8.4 Lemma. *Let X be a compact Riemann surface and $g \in \mathbb{N}$ be a natural number. Denote by Δ the diagonal in $X \times X$, by δ_M the δ-distribution defined by M and by $\pi_i : X^g \times X \longrightarrow X$ (resp. $\pi_{i,g+1} : X^g \times X \longrightarrow X \times X$) the canonical projection on the i-th component (resp. to the product of the i-th and $(g+1)$-th component.) Further let*

$$f : (X^g \times X) \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{g} \pi_{i,g+1}^{-1}(\Delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be a smooth function such that the restriction of

$$-d_X d_X^c f + \delta_\Delta \circ \pi_{1,g+1} + \ldots + \delta_\Delta \circ \pi_{g,g+1} = \rho \quad ,$$

to $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_g)\} \times X$ is a smooth $(1,1)$-form smoothly varying with $(x_1, \ldots, x_g)$. Let ω be a smooth $(1,1)$-form on X. Then

$$\int_X f(x_1, \ldots, x_g, \cdot)\omega$$

depends smoothly on $(x_1, \ldots, x_g) \in X^g$.

Proof. Without restriction we may assume $\int_X \omega = 1$. Then, for any $x \in X$ there exists a function $h \in C^\infty(X \backslash \{x\})$, having a logarithmic singularity in x, such that $\omega = -dd^c h + \delta_x$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X f(x_1, \ldots, x_g, \cdot)\omega &= -\int_X f(x_1, \ldots, x_g, \cdot) dd^c h + f(x_1, \ldots, x_g, x) \\
&= -\int_X \Big(d_X d_X^c f(x_1, \ldots, x_g, \cdot)\Big) h + f(x_1, \ldots, x_g, x) \\
&= \int_X \rho(x_1, \ldots, x_g, \cdot) h - h(x_1) - \ldots - h(x_g) + f(x_1, \ldots, x_g, x) \\
&= \int_X \rho(x_1, \ldots, x_g, \cdot) h - \Big[h(x_1) - G(x, x_1)\Big] - \ldots - \Big[h(x_g) - G(x, x_g)\Big] \\
&- \Big[G(x, x_1) + \ldots + G(x, x_g) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_g, x)\Big] \quad ,
\end{aligned}$$

where G is the Green's function of X. Because h has only a logarithmic singularity it is allowed to differentiate under the integral sign. So the integral is smooth. The other summands are solutions of equations of the form $dd^c F = \sigma$ with a smooth $(1,1)$-form σ on X satisfying $\int_X \sigma = 0$ (in $x_1, \ldots, x_g$, respectively x). Since dd^c is elliptic, these solutions exist as smooth functions and are unique up to constants. In particular, also the last summand must depend smoothly on $(x_1, \ldots, x_g)$, even when some of the x_i equal x. Note that the symmetry of the Green's function is used here essentially.

$\square$

Acknowledgement. When doing this work, the author had fruitful discussions with U. Bunke (Berlin), who explained him much of the analytic part of the theory. He thanks him warmly.

References

[Ar] Аракелов, С. Ю.: Теория пересечений дивизоров на арифметической поверхности, *Изв. Акад. наук СССР*, сер. мат., т.38(1974)1179-1192 (English translation: Arakelov, S. Yu.: Intersection theory of divisors on an arithmetic surface, *Math. USSR Izvestiya* 8(1974)1167-1180)

[AW] Artin, M., Winters, G.: Degenerate fibers and stable reduction of curves, *Topology* 10(1971)373-383

[SGA6] Berthelot, P., Grothendieck, A., Illusie, L. et al.: *Théorie des intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch*, Lecture Notes Math. 225, Springer, Berlin 1971

[BGS] Bismut, J.-M., Gillet, H., Soulé, C.: Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles I, II, III, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 115(1988)49-78, 79-126, 301-351

[BoGS] Bost, J.-B., Gillet, H., Soulé, C.: Heights of projective varieties and positive Green forms, *Preprint IHES*/M/93/10, Bures-sur-Yvette 1993

[CS] Cornell, G., Silverman, J.-H. (eds.): *Arithmetic geometry*, Springer, New York 1986

[Fa] Faltings, G.: Calculus on arithmetic surfaces, *Annals of Math.* 119(1984)387-424

[Fu] Fulton, W.: Rational equivalence on singular varieties, *Publ. Math. IHES* 45(1975)147-167

[GS87] Gillet, H., Soulé, C.: Intersection theory using Adams operations, *Inventiones Math.* 90(1987)243-277

[GS90] Gillet, H., Soulé, C.: Arithmetic intersection theory, *Publ. Math. IHES* 72(1990)93-174

[GH] Griffiths, P., Harris, J.: *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley-Interscience, New York 1978

[SGA1] Grothendieck, A.: *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Lecture Notes Math. 224, Springer, Berlin 1971

[EGA III] Grothendieck, A., Dieudonné, J.: Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, *Publ. Math. IHES* 11(1961), 17(1963)

[EGA IV] Grothendieck, A., Dieudonné, J.: Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, *Publ. Math. IHES* 20(1964), 24(1965), 28(1966), 32(1967)

[FGA] Grothendieck, A.: Fondements de la Géométrie Algébrique, *Séminaire Bourbaki* 149, 182, 190, 195, 212, 221, 232, 236, Paris 1957-62

[Ha] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math. 52, Springer, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin 1977

[Hr] Hriljac, P.: Heights and Arakelov's intersection theory, *Amer. J. Math.* 107(1985)23-38

[KM] Knudsen, F., Mumford, D.: The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves I, *Math. Scand.* 39(1976)19-55

[Kü] Künnemann, K.: Higher Picard varieties and the height pairing, *Preprint Münster*, Münster 1995

[Li] Lichtenbaum, S.: Curves over discrete valuation rings, *Amer. J. Math.* 90(1968)380-405

[MB] Moret-Bailly, L.: Métriques permises, in: Szpiro: Séminaire sur les pinceaux arithmétiques: La conjecture de Mordell, *Asterisque* 127(1985)

[Qu] Квиллен, Д.: Детерминанты операторов Коши-Римана на римановых поверхностях, *Функцион. анализ и его прил.*, т. 19(1985)37-41 (English translation: Quillen, D.: Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators over a Riemann surface, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 19(1985)31-34)

[SABK] Soulé, C., Abramovich, D., Burnol, J.-F., Kramer, J.: *Lectures on Arakelov geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1992